Contribution ID: 7083c847-3b41-41ca-901b-00f30a232b12

Date: 11/05/2023 18:01:01

Public consultation on new product priorities under the Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

1.1 Background to this consultation

A proposal for a Regulation on <u>Ecodesign for Sustainable Produ</u>cts (ESPR) was adopted by the Commission in March 2022. The ESPR is a framework for setting ecodesign requirements on products to improve their circularity, energy performance and other environmental sustainability aspects. While the ESPR proposal provides a general framework for setting rules, the actual product requirements will be adopted only in a second stage. The Commission should therefore adopt and regularly update a working plan, setting out product priorities for which ecodesign requirements should be laid down.

The purpose of this questionnaire is to gather your views on what the new product priorities under the ESPR should be.

The questions build on preliminary assessments (see here) carried out by the Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC), which has identified several product groups and horizontal measures that may be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR, once it enters into force. The responses of the public to this questionnaire will help the Commission to further refine this analysis, close information gaps and build consensus on future action under the ESPR.

A key methodological starting point for the JRC's preliminary assessments was **Article 16** of the <u>ESPR proposal</u>, which requires the Commission to prioritise products and horizontal measures based on a set of criteria pertaining in particular to the *potential contribution that could be made to the EU's climate, environmental and energy objectives*, as well as the *potential for improving* the product aspects identified by the proposal from an environmental point of view, *products' market share* and the *distribution of product impacts across the value chain*. Factors such as products' environmental, sustainability and circularity impacts, improvement potential from an environmental sustainability point of view, and as well as existing policy gaps and proportionality of costs related to the improvement potential were therefore amongst the considerations taken into account.

The ESPR proposal builds on the existing <u>Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC</u>, which currently covers energy-related products only. It should be clarified that this consultation focuses on identifying 'new products' that are *not* currently within the scope of Ecodesign Directive 2009/125/EC. The future ESPR working plan will nevertheless cover both new and energy-related products. (Please note: the Commission will carry out a

separate initiative to prioritise requirements for energy-related products, for which it will be necessary to take the progress in implementing the <u>Ecodesign and Energy Labelling Working Plan 2022-2024</u> into account.)

Given the wide scope of the ESPR, some products identified in this questionnaire will also be subject to separate product-specific or horizontal legislation at EU level. For these products, as a general principle the ESPR will only intervene when the environmental sustainability dimensions of those products cannot be fully and appropriately addressed by other instruments. For example for **chemicals**, ESPR could only take action where needed on aspects *not* dealt with under existing chemicals regulation (e.g. Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006); for **packaging**, it could only act where needed on *targeted aspects* specifically related to a packaged product covered by its measures, leaving revised Packaging and Packaging Waste rules to cover stand-alone packaging; for **construction products**, it could take action if the environmental sustainability dimensions of these products could not be fully and appropriately addressed by the revised Construction Products Regulation (with the exception of energy-related construction products, for which the ESPR will be the main regulatory instrument). As the ecodesign requirements that ESPR will set will help strengthen the EU's general circularity framework, including by improving product recyclability (including of the critical raw materials contained in products), it will also synergise with key pillars of upcoming EU initiatives in the area of **Critical Raw Materials** (CRMs).

The <u>JRC report</u> underpinning this consultation includes a preliminary assessment of product-specific legislation in this respect. However, a more precise assessment of the ecodesign requirements to be potentially laid down under ESPR, and their added-value in comparison with product-specific legislation, will be undertaken in a second stage, following adoption of the ESPR working plan, in the context of the preparatory studies foreseen. Questions on potential measures identified at this stage are therefore preliminary. Before adopting all rules under ESPR, the Commission will undertake thorough impact assessments and further consultations will also be held.

While the ESPR proposal is yet to be adopted by the co-legislators, and is therefore subject to further change, it is hoped that the results of this consultation will be able to feed into preparation of the new product priorities under the ESPR.

1.2 Structure of this questionnaire

You are invited to respond to the questionnaire regardless of your level of expertise. You can save your answers as draft and finish the survey later if necessary. The questionnaire is available in all languages. The questionnaire comprises the following sections:

- 'About you': general information about you, the respondent, to better understand your perspective
- End-use products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Intermediate products: including general questions and questions per product group
- Horizontal measures: including general questions and questions per horizontal measure
- **Final remarks**: allows you to provide final remarks and/or upload a document that you think is relevant to your views

Please note that all sections (with the exception of the 'About you' section) are optional: you can

choose which you wish to complete, depending on your main area(s) of interest.

If you have any questions, please contact ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu

Your opinion matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this consultation. The results of the consultation will be published on Have your say.

For further information, please see the following background information:

- Consultation webpage
- JRC background work and analysis

*Language of my contribution

- ESPR proposal
- ESPR impact assessment

Bulgarian

Croatian

Slovenian

About you

Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish
French
German
Greek
Hungarian
Irish
Italian
Latvian
Lithuanian
Maltese
Polish
Portuguese
Romanian
Slovak

0	Spanish
0	Swedish
* I am	giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen
•	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
0	Public authority
0	Trade union
0	Other
	industry stakeholders) Please indicate the type of product your organisation uces or represents:
	Absorbent Hygiene Products
	Agricultural products
	Aluminium
	Bed Mattresses
	Ceramic products (including toilets and urinals)
	Chemicals
	Construction products
	Cosmetic Products
	Detergents
	Energy-related products, including ICT and electronics
	Fishing Nets and Gears
	Food, feed and drink
	Furniture
	Glass
	Iron and Steel
	Lubricants
	Non-ferrous metals

Office equipment
Other (please specify)
Packaging
Paints and Varnishes
Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
Plastic and Polymers
Textiles and Footwear
Toys
Transport equipment
Tyres
(For industry stakeholders) Please indicate the level of the market(s) you are
active on:
Local market
Regional market
EU market
Non-EU market
Worldwide market
Not applicable
*First name
Edoardo
*Surname
Bodo
*Email (this won't be published)
edoardo.bodo@rreuse.org
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
RREUSE
*Organisation size

Micro (1 to 9 employees)

- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

05052317999-60		

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

	Afghanistan		Djibouti	0	Libya		Saint Martin
0	Åland Islands	0	Dominica	0	Liechtenstein	0	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
0	Albania		Dominican Republic		Lithuania	0	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
0	American Samoa		Egypt		Macau		San Marino
0	Andorra		El Salvador	0	Madagascar	0	São Tomé and Príncipe
	Angola		Equatorial Guine	a	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
	Anguilla		Eritrea		Malaysia		Senegal
	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and Barbuda	0	Eswatini	0	Mali	0	Seychelles
	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
	Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
0	Austria		Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas	0	French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia

	Bahrain		French Polynesia	0	Micronesia	0	South Africa
0	Bangladesh	0	French Southern	0	Moldova	0	South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
	Barbados		Gabon		Monaco		South Korea
	Belarus	0	Georgia		Mongolia		South Sudan
()	Belgium	0	Germany	0	Montenegro	0	Spain
0	Belize		Ghana	0	Montserrat	0	Sri Lanka
	Benin	0	Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
	Bermuda	0	Greece		Mozambique	0	Suriname
	Bhutan	0	Greenland		Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen
	Bolivia	0	Grenada		Namibia	0	Sweden
	Bonaire Saint	0	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	0	Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
	Bosnia and	0	Guam		Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
	Botswana	0	Guatemala		Netherlands		Taiwan
	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey		New Caledonia		Tajikistan
	Brazil		Guinea	0	New Zealand		Tanzania
	British Indian	0	Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua		Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
	British Virgin	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
	Islands						
	Brunei	0	Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste
	Bulgaria		Heard Island and	0	Niue		Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
	Burundi		Hong Kong	0	Northern		Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary	0	North Korea		Trinidad and
							Tobago
	Cameroon	0	Iceland	0	North Macedonia		Tunisia

Canada	India	0	Norway	0	Türkiye
Cape Verde	Indonesia	0	Oman	0	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
					Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
Republic					
Chad	Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
China	Israel		Papua New	0	United Arab
			Guinea		Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	0	Paraguay		United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	0	Peru		United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines		United States
Islands					Minor Outlying
					Islands
Colombia	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	0	Réunion	0	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia		Wallis and
					Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena		Zambia
			Ascension and		
			Tristan da Cunha	i	
Democratic	Lesotho	0	Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
Republic of the			Nevis		
Congo					
Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **Fo**

r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

A. END-USE PRODUCTS

'End-use products' are products sold directly to consumers and that are ready for their intended use upon sale (i.e. they do not require further [professional] manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for use).

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following end-use products have been identified as potentially suitable for first action under the ESPR:

- Textiles and Footwear
- Furniture
- Ceramic products
- Tyres
- Detergents
- Bed Mattresses
- Lubricants

- Paints and Varnishes
- Cosmetic products
- Toys
- Fishing Nets and Gears
- Absorbent Hygiene Products

For each end-use product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON END-USE PRODUCTS

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification of the following end-use products for p otential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Textiles and Footwear	•	0	0
Furniture	•	0	0
Ceramic products	•	0	0
Tyres	0	0	•
Detergents	0	0	•
Bed Mattresses	0	0	•
Lubricants	0	0	•
Paints and Varnishes	0	0	•
Cosmetic products	0	0	•
Toys	•	0	0
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	•
Absorbent Hygiene Products	0	0	•

2. Are there any <u>other</u> end-use products you believe should be added to this list?

100 character(s) maximum

Even if outside the scope of this consultation, ICT products should nontetheless be included.

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of

priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)
Textiles and Footwear	0	0	•
Furniture	0	0	•
Ceramic products	0	0	0
Tyres	0	0	0
Detergents	0	0	0
Bed Mattresses	0	0	0
Lubricants	0	0	0
Paints and Varnishes	0	0	0
Cosmetic products	0	0	0
Toys	0	•	0
Fishing Nets and Gears	0	0	0
Absorbent Hygiene Products	0	0	0

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL END-USE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please	select the	end-use	product	group(s)	on	which	you	would	like	to	provid	е
input:												

put:
All 12 end-use product groups identified
Textiles and Footwear
Furniture
Ceramic products
Tyres
Detergents
Bed Mattresses
Lubricants
Paints and Varnishes
Cosmetic products
□ Toys
Fishing Nets and Gears

Absorbent Hygiene Products
TEXTILES AND FOOTWEAR
Product scope: Apparel and home/interior textiles (e.g. bedlinen, towels, tablecloths, curtains etc.) consumed by households, and similar products consumed by government and business (e.g. uniforms and workwear used by all public and private sectors, bedlinen and towels etc. consumed by hotels, restaurants, healthcare services etc.) and technical textiles usually or also meant for consumers (such as truck covers, cleaning products) or specifically meant for industry (automotive, construction, medical, agriculture, etc.) + footwear
<u>Excluded</u> from the scope in preliminary analysis: Products for which textile components constitute less than 80% by weight of the product (e.g. furniture, carpets mainly made of plastics, duvets, pillows) and leather, unless incorporated in footwear
1. Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the above scope
description?
I agree with the product scope description as set out above
Elements should be added
Elements should be removed
Please specify the elements to be added:
Text of 1 to 100 characters will be accepted
sportswear and leisure wear
2. Within the product group of textiles and footwear, should some product sub-groups be considered as higher priority for regulation than others?

1	Apparel
V	Footwear
	Home/interior textiles (e.g. bed linen, towels, curtains)
	Technical textiles not included in the above-mentioned scope (e.g. textiles for
	automotive applications; agrotextiles)
	Other

3. Textiles and footwear products can be very different from one another. In order to be able to set effective requirements under the ESPR, the appropriate level at which to do so needs to be chosen.

<u>At what level</u> do you believe ecodesign requirements for textiles and footwear should be laid down?

at most 1 choice(s)
 Across all textile products (i.e. applicable to all products containing at least 80% by weight of textile fibres)
At the level of specific sub-groups within this product group (for example, 'apparel', or 'household textiles')
At the level of individual articles (i.e. at 't-shirt' level, or at 'jeans' level)
Based on the material used (i.e. for 'cotton textiles')
Based on product purpose/functionality (i.e. for 'outdoor wear'; 'everyday wear', etc.)
Other
4. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would <u>contribute to better</u> <u>addressing</u> the environmental sustainability aspects of textiles and footwear products, compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?
Yes
O No
No opinion
5. For textiles, which of the following products aspects do you believe will be
the most important to regulate under ESPR?
at most 3 choice(s)
Improving durability and reliability
Ease of repair and maintenance
Ease of recycling of materials
Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
Resource use or resource efficiency
Use or content of recycled materials
Presence of substances of concern
Energy use or energy efficiency
The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics

6. Do you wish to add comments on textiles and footwear?

Eco-design requirements should be developed through the prism of re-use to ensure that textile products still hold value when reaching the second-hand market. Moreover, information requirements should be set to improve products' durability and reduce overproduction by increasing transparency on production volumes.

FURNITURE

Product scope: Free-standing or built-in units whose primary function is to be used for the storage, placement or hanging of items and/or to provide surfaces where users can rest, sit, eat, study or work, whether for indoor or outdoor use. The scope extends to domestic furniture and contract furniture items for use in domestic or non-domestic environments. Bed frames, legs, bases and headboards are included in the scope.

Excluded from the scope in preliminary analysis: bed mattresses, streetlights, railings and fences, ladders, clocks, playground equipment, stand-alone or wall-hung mirrors, electrical conduits, road bollards and building products such as steps, doors, windows, floor coverings and cladding

 Should certain elements be <u>added</u> to or <u>removed</u> from the 	ne above scope
description?	

La	arpa	with	tha	product	conp	description	ac cot	Out	ahove
Ιć	auree	WILLI	uie	DIOGUCL	SCODE	describilion	as sei	out	above

- Elements should be added
- Elements should be removed

Please specify elements to be added:

Text of 1 to 100 characters will be accepted

Building products should be considered due to their high reusability potential

2. Furniture products can be very different from one another. In order to be able to set effective requirements under the ESPR, the appropriate level at which to do so needs to be chosen.

At what level do you believe ecodesign requirements for furniture should be laid down?

at most 1 choice(s)

- Across all furniture products (i.e. applicable at general level to all products falling within this product group)
- At the level of specific sub-groups within this product group (for example, 'free-standing' furniture)
- Based on the materials used (e.g. 'wooden furniture')
- At the level of components (e.g. 'upholstery')

At the level of individual articles (e.g. requirements specifically for 'bed frames')
Based on product purpose/functionality (i.e. for 'office furniture')
Other
3. Do you believe that action under the ESPR would contribute to better
addressing the environmental sustainability aspects of furniture products,
compared to existing EU level legislation/initiatives?
Yes
No
No opinion
4. For furniture, which of the following products aspects do you believe will
be the most important to regulate under ESPR?
at most 3 choice(s)
Improving durability and reliability
Ease of repair and maintenance
Ease of recycling of materials
Ease of refurbishment, remanufacturing and upgradability
Resource use or resource efficiency
Use or content of recycled materials
Presence of substances of concern
Energy use or energy efficiency
The lifecycle environmental impact, including carbon footprint
Expected generation of waste, such as release of microplastics
Other
5. Do you wish to add comments on <u>furniture</u> ?
500 character(s) maximum
Mandatory EU-wide requirements on the location of flame-retardant label is particularly important as an extremely simple and cost-effective solution to upscale the re-use of furniture.

B. INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

'Intermediate products' are products placed on the market as final products, but which require further (professional) manufacturing and/or assembly processes before being ready for their end-use.

Based on the approach outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire, the following intermediate

products have been identified as	potentially	suitable for first	action under	the ESPR:
----------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	--------------	-----------

- Iron and Steel
- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

For each intermediate product listed above, the **product scope** taken into account in the preliminary analysis outlined in the main introduction to this questionnaire is set out in the dedicated sections underneath. These scopes remain subject to further change, including based on the results of this consultation.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON INTERMEDIATE PRODUCTS

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the identification of the following intermediate products for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Iron and Steel	0	0	0
Non-Ferrous Metals	0	0	0
Aluminium	0	0	0
Chemicals	0	0	0
Plastic and Polymers	0	0	0
Pulp Paper and Boards	0	0	0
Glass	0	0	0

2. Are there any other	intermediate products you	ı believe should be added to
this list?		

10	00 character(s) maximum				

3. The implementation of the ESPR and setting of ecodesign requirements will happen over time. Even among the products finally selected, an order of priority will need to be decided.

Please <u>rate the priority</u> of the below products from 1 to 3, with 1 denoting the lowest importance and 3 the highest:

	1 (low importance)	2 (medium importance)	3 (high importance)
Iron and Steel	0	0	0
Non-Ferrous Metals	0	0	0
Aluminium	0	0	0
Chemicals	0	0	0
Plastic and Polymers	0	0	0
Pulp Paper and Boards	0	0	0
Glass	0	0	0

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL INTERMEDIATE PRODUCT GROUPS

Please select the intermediate product group(s) on which you would like to provide
input:
All 7 intermediate product groups identified
☐ Iron and Steel

- Non-Ferrous Metals
- Aluminium
- Chemicals
- Plastic and Polymers
- Paper, Pulp Paper and Boards
- Glass

C. HORIZONTAL MEASURES

The ESPR proposal includes the possibility, when needed, of setting horizontal measures – in other words, cross-cutting measures applicable to two or more product groups sharing common characteristics.

Based on the preliminary analysis described in the main introduction to this questionnaire, five areas for horizontal measures which may be suitable candidates for prioritisation under the ESPR have been identified: Durability, Recyclability, Post-Consumer Recycled Content, Lightweight Design, and Sustainable Sourcing. After assessment, three of these aspects were retained for consultation:

• **Durability**: for the purposes of this consultation, 'durability' covers the aspects of 'reliability', 'reparability', 'reusability' and 'upgradability'.

- Recyclability: for the purposes of this consultation, 'recycling' means recovery operations of any
 kind via which waste materials are reprocessed into products, materials or substances, whether for
 the original or other purposes, excluding energy recovery.
- Post-Consumer Recycled Content: for the purposes of this consultation, 'post-consumer recycled content' refers to the amount of post-consumer recycled material that goes into the manufacturing of a new product.

The remaining two (Lightweight Design and Sustainable Sourcing) require further elaboration before drafting of the ESPR working plan, and are therefore not covered by this questionnaire.

The three horizontal measures retained for consideration are accompanied by sets of suggested provisions via which they could be concretely implemented. While this approach would entail alignment of certain definitions, principles, regulatory formulations and verification procedures, the exact content of the provisions could differ and be adapted, depending on the characteristics of the product categories to which they would apply.

I. GENERAL QUESTIONS ON HORIZONTAL MEASURES

1. Do you <u>agree</u> with the horizontal measures identified for potential first action under the ESPR?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
Durability	•	0	0
Recyclability	0	•	0
Post-Consumer Recycled Content	0	•	0

2. Are there any other horizontal measures	s you believe should be added to
this list?	

es

O No

Please specify

100 character(s) maximum


Reparability and reusablity

II. QUESTIONS ON INDIVIDUAL HORIZONTAL MEASURES

	Р	lease sele	ect the	horizontal	l measure(s	s) on which	you would like to	provide in	put
--	---	------------	---------	------------	-------------	-------------	-------------------	------------	-----

All 3	horizontal	measures	identified
	HUHZUHlai	IIICabulca	IUCHUIICU

Durability



Minimum lifetime and labelling	0
Resistance to stresses or ageing mechanisms (e.g. resistance to drop/shock /abrasion; ingress protection)	0
Minimum durability of function (e.g. water repellence, colour fastness, dimensional stability)	0
Introduction of a reparability scoring index/label	0
Availability of repair (+upgrade) information and maintenance instructions to independent operators and/or end users	0
Spare part (and software upgrade) availability and delivery time	0
Disassembly generally or related to Tools, Fasteners, Working Environment and Skill Level	0
Use of component and material coding standards for the identification of components and materials	0
Use of standard components / Compatibility with commonly available spare parts	0
Number of materials and components used	0
Modularity/Transformability; detachable/adjustable elements	0

Stakeholders from social enterprises should be consulted when developing ecodesign requirements for textiles and furniture due to the long-lasting expertise of the social economy in the re-use of these two

Energy-related products including ICT and electronics

Other (please specify product)

Animal care products

1000 character(s) maximum

product groups.

If you wish to upload a supporting document, please do so here:

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

718b9102-cfa8-4e12-95de-dfe08de32152/RREUSE_s_answer_to_New_Product_Priorities_for_ESPR.docx

Contact

ENV-PRODUCT-POLICY@ec.europa.eu